EXHIBIT 3

DUPLICATE

ORIGINAL

FILED CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT

MAR 13 2018

CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
BY
DEPUTY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DOMINIC ARCHIBALD, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

13 v.

COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. CV 16-01128-AB (SPx)

SPECIAL VERDICT FORM

11	
1	WE, THE JURY in the above-entitled action, unanimously find as follows on the
2	questions submitted to us:
3	
4	QUESTION 1: Did Deputy Woods unreasonably detain or arrest Nathanael Pickett,
5	II?
6	YES NO
7	
8	If you answered "yes" to Question 1, please proceed to Question 2.
9	If you answered "no" to Question 1, please proceed to Question 3.
10	
11	QUESTION 2: Was the unreasonable detention or arrest a cause of Nathanael
12	Pickett, II's injury, damage, harm, or death?
13	YES NO
14	
15	Please proceed to the next question.
16	
17	QUESTION 3 : Did Deputy Woods use excessive or unreasonable force against
18	Nathanael Pickett, II?
19	YES NO
20	
21	If you answered "yes" to Question 3, please proceed to Question 4.
22	If you answered "no," please proceed to Question 5.
23	
24	QUESTION 4: Was Deputy Woods' use of excessive or unreasonable force a cause
25	of Nathanael Pickett, II's injury, damage, harm, or death?
26	YES NO
27	
28	Please proceed to the next question.

1	QUESTION 5: Did Deputy Woods unreasonably delay Nathanael Pickett, II from
2	obtaining needed medical care?
3	YESNO
4	
5	If you answered "yes" to Question 5, please proceed to Question 6.
6	If you answered "no" to Question 5, please proceed to Question 7.
7	
.8	QUESTION 6: Was the unreasonable delay in obtaining needed medical care a cause
9	of Nathanael Pickett, II's injury, loss, damages, harm, or death?
10	YES NO
11	
12	Please proceed to the next question.
13	
14	QUESTION 7: Did Deputy Woods violently cause harm to Nathanael Pickett, II to
15	prevent him from exercising his right not to be unreasonably detained or unreasonably
16	arrested?
17	YES NO
18	
19	Please proceed to the next question.
20	
21	QUESTION 8: Was Deputy Woods negligent?
22	YES NO
23	
24	If you answered "yes" to Question 8, please proceed to Question 9.
25	If you answered "no" to Question 8, please proceed to Question 13.
26	If you answered "no" to Questions 1, 3, 5, 7, and 8, please sign and return this form.
27	
28	

Case 5:28-6V-00625-KK-9FB Do Do Robement 401-i7ed 03/49/19/27/25e 4 Rage 5 25/6 IDP # 1024

1	QUESTION 9: Was Deputy Woods's negligence a cause of Nathanael Pickett, II's
2	death?
3	YES NO
4	a a
5	Please proceed to the next question.
6	
7	QUESTION 10: Was Nathanael Pickett, II negligent?
8	YESNO
9	*
10	If you answered "yes" to Question 10, please proceed to Question 11.
11	If you answered "no" to Question 10, please proceed to Question 13.
12	
13	QUESTION 11: Was Nathanael Pickett, II's negligence a cause of his death?
14	YES NO
15	
16	Please proceed to the next question.
17	
18	QUESTION 12: What percentage of responsibility for Nathanael Pickett, II's death
19	do you assign to the negligent conduct, if any, of the following?
20	Deputy Woods%
21	Nathanael Pickett, II%
22	TOTAL 100 %
23	
24	//
25	//
26	
27	//
28	

Case 5:28-6V-00625-KK-9FB Do Do Robement 401-iTed 05/19/27/25e 5 Rage 6 25/25 10/27/25/25

- 11	
1	QUESTION 13 : Was the conduct of Deputy Woods malicious, oppressive or in
2	reckless disregard of Nathanael Pickett, II's rights?
3	YESNO
4	·
5	Please sign and date this verdict form and return it to the Court.
6	1,2/2,4
7	Dated: $03/13/2008$ Signed:
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	15/redacted signature
15 16	
17	
18	
19	··
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	